

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed on September 15, 2005, the Examiner objected to the disclosure and required correction to note the patent number corresponding to the patent application to which the present application claims priority. Applicant has amended the specification to identify the 6,707,293 patent.

In addition, the Examiner rejected claims 1-9, 11, and 16-18 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,293 (Wan et al.) in view of 6,212,783 (Ott et al.). Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request notice to this effect. The Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' representative below if any questions arise or she may be of assistance to the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 15, 2005

By: 

Marcus J. Thymian
Reg. No. 43,594
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6709
312 935 2352
thymian@mbhb.com